एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी–7, सेक्टर–10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली–110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.06.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-121/E-360960/2025 Appeal/5th Meeting, 2025 / E-87034
APPLWRC202515002

Regional Institute of Education, National Council of Educational Research and Training, 67, 70, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 87, 91, Shyamla Hills, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh-462002	**Vs**	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075

Representative of Appellant	**Mr. N.C. Ojha, Representative**
Respondent by	**Regional Director, WRC**
Date of Hearing	29.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	02.06.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Regional Institute of Education, National Council of Educational Research and Training, 67, 70, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 87, 91, Shyamla Hills, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh-462002** dated 01.04.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. / NCTE / WRC / 2526202405082699 / MADHYA PRADESH / 2024 / REJC / 64** dated 14.02.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The Shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. N.C. Ojha, Representative of **Regional Institute of Education, National Council of Educational Research and Training, 67, 70, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 87, 91, Shyamla Hills, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh-462002** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The Institute fulfils the 10-point criteria for shortlisting as prescribed by the NCTE. the institution is a multidisciplinary institution."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held online on 29th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 20.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 14.02.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held on 29.04.2025. The Appeal Committee during online hearing noted that the Appellant Institution in addition to the submissions and explanation given in the appeal report, it has claimed that it is securing 14 points under shortlisting criteria i.e., NAAC- A++ grade – 8 points, MDI running for 50 years – 4 points and NCTE recognized B.Sc. B.Ed. & B.A. B.Ed) course – 2 points.

In view of the above, the Appeal Committee, after perusing the documents which were made available on records, noted that there is strength in the submission of the appellant institution and as such, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the institution successfully secured 10 points. Therefore, instant appeal deserves to be allowed after setting aside the order dated 14.02.2025 passed by WRC, NCTE

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to WRC, NCTE with a direction to revisit the documents submitted by the institution and take decision accordingly on the basis of scrutiny of the documents and recalculation of shortlisting criteria points and take further necessary action accordingly as per NCTE Act, Rules & Regulations as applicable.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case after setting aside the order dt. 14.02.2025 to WRC, NCTE with a direction to revisit the documents submitted by the institution and take decision accordingly on the basis of scrutiny of the documents and recalculation of shortlisting criteria points and take further necessary action accordingly as per NCTE Act, Rules & Regulations as applicable. The Appellant is directed to forward to the WRC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Regional Institute of Education, National Council of Educational Research and Training, 67, 70, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 87, 91, Shyamla Hills, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh-462002.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 2nd floor, Annex-3, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal – 462004.

एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ **IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी–7, सेक्टर–10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली–110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.06.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-125/E-362330/2025 Appeal/5th Meeting, 2025/E-87034
APPLSRC202515017

Bhavani College of Education, 3P 4P, Near 5th APSP Battalion, Boddavalasa VII, Denkad M, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh-535005	**Vs**	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075

Representative of Appellant	**Shri Annepu Gurudev, Manager**
Respondent by	**Regional Director, SRC**
Date of Hearing	29.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	02.06.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS FOR APPEAL

The appeal of **Bhavani College of Education, 3P 4P, Near 5th APSP Battalion, Boddavalasa VII, Denkad M, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh-535005** dated 15.04.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the letter no. **NCTE-Regl019 / 376 / 2021-Regulation Section-SRC / 145999-146000** dated 18.04.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, rejecting the request for reinstating the intake for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The Committee considered the Representation submitted by the institution and decided to inform the institution that their request for increasing the intake cannot be acceded to as the intake of the institution was reduced to one unit, on the request of the institution. Therefore, the institution may apply for an additional intake as and when the applications are invited by the NCTE through online portal."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Shri Annepu Gurudev, Manager of **Bhavani College of Education, 3P 4P, Near 5th APSP Battalion, Boddavalasa VII, Denkad M, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh-535005** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Detail representation attached separately."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held online on 29th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for conducting Secondary (B.Ed.) course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 19.11.2007. A Revised Provisional Recognition Order was issued to the institution vide order dated 29.05.2015 for conducting B.Ed. programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students for two basic units from the academic session 2015-16. A Corrigendum dated 27.10.2015 was issued to the institution for conducting B.Ed. programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students for one basic unit from the academic session 2015-16.

The instant matter was placed in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held on 29.04.2025. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the institution submitted a representation dated 25.06.2024 requesting to reinstate their intake for two units in existing B.Ed course, which was considered by SRC in its 441st meeting held on 04th August 2024 wherein the SRC decided to constitute the online Visiting Team for inspection under Section 13 of the NCTE Act for the institution. There is a prescribed procedure for applying for additional intake as defined in clause 5 (3) of NCTE Regulations 2014, which was not followed by the institution in instant case. The SRC in its 445th meeting held on 26th October 2024 decided *"The SRC further resolved that if in any previously decided cases for inspection u/s 13 of the NCTE Act for restoration of intake of the institution (s), the decision of SRC may not be implemented and the matters be placed before SRC in its ensuing meeting for review. In view of the above, the SRC noted that the decision taken in 441st meeting held on 04th August 2024 was taken inadvertently as the SRC is not empowered to take decision for causing inspection u/s 13 and therefore be treated as withdrawn."* Further, the SRC decided to inform the institution that their request for increasing the intake cannot be acceded to as the intake of the institution was reduced to one unit, on the request of the institution. Therefore, the institution may apply for an additional intake as and when the applications are invited by the NCTE through online portal.

The Appeal Committee noted that a Revised Provisional Recognition Order was issued to the institution vide order dated 29.05.2015 for conducting B.Ed. programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students for two basic units from the academic session 2015-16. Subsequently on the request of the appellant institution a Corrigendum dated 27.10.2015 was issued to the institution for conducting B.Ed. programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students for one basic unit from the academic session 2015-16. Thus the SRC reduced the intake on the request of the institution itself.

The Appeal Committee on the basis of above facts and circumstances is of the view that subsequent request for increase in intake has to be governed by the NCTE-Regulation, 2014. In order to get increased intake, institution is required to apply for additional intake as and when the applications are invited by NCTE through online portal. The Appeal Committee after perusing the documents and oral argument advanced during the hearing, the Committee observed that the appeal of the institution is still deficient on the following points: -

(i) **The SRC reduced the intake of the institution on the request of the institution. Therefore, the institution may apply for an additional intake as per the provisions of Section 15 of the NCTE Act 1993, as and when the applications are invited by NCTE.**

Hence, the Appeal Committee after perusing the documents which were made available on records is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above ground. The Appeal Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in rejecting the request for reinstating the intake for B.Ed. Course and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned letter dated 18.04.2025 issued by SRC is confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in rejecting the request for reinstating the intake for B.Ed. Course and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned letter dated 18.04.2025 issued by SRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in rejecting the request for reinstating the intake for B.Ed. Course and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned letter dated 18.04.2025 issued by SRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Bhavani College of Education, 3P 4P, Near 5th APSP Battalion, Boddavalasa VII, Denkad M, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh-535005.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Andhra Pradesh State Council of Higher education, 3rd, 4th and 5th floors, Neeladri Towers, Sri Ram Nagar, 6th Battalion Road, Atmakur (V), Mangalagiri (M), Guntur, Andhra Pradesh-522503.

एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी–7, सेक्टर–10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली–110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.06.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-126/E-362351/2025 Appeal/5th Meeting, 2025/ E-87034
APPLWRC202515015

Lokniketan Shikshan Mahavidhyalay (B.Ed.), 181, Lokniketan Ratanpur, Palanpur, Banaskantha, Gujarat- 385001	**Vs**	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075

Representative of Appellant	**Mr. Kiran Harisinh Chavda, Administrator**
Respondent by	**Regional DIrector, WRC**
Date of Hearing	29.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	02.06.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Lokniketan Shikshan Mahavidhyalay (B.Ed.), 181, Lokniketan Ratanpur, Palanpur, Banaskantha, Gujarat-385001** dated 08.04.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the order no. **F. No. / WRC / NCTE / APW01501 / 323223 / B.Ed. / 413th Meeting / 2025 / 426** dated 18.03.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "(i) The Principal is not appointed. (ii) No faculties appointed for Perspective in Education, Science; Music; Physical Education; and each one for Math, Social Science & Science are also not appointed. (iii) University approval letters for appointment of the facilities are not attached by the institution. (iv) Last SCN was issued on 07.05.2018 and subsequently letter was issued on 12.04.2019 with the direction to fulfil and appoint faculties as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 and GOI. No. 237 dated 09.06.2017, but the institution has not compliance the requisite direction/provisions and violate norms & standards of appointment of faculty/ies for B.Ed. course after decision of Appellate Authority of NCTE. Now, it is suggested that no question to give further opportunity to the institution after a gap of continuous more than 06 years."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Kiran Harisinh Chavda, Administrator of **Lokniketan Shikshan Mahavidhyalay (B.Ed.), 181, Lokniketan Ratanpur, Palanpur, Banaskantha, Gujarat-385001** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The appellant, Lokniketan Shikshan Mahavidyalay, hereinafter referred to as the appellant, seeks to prefer the present appeal under section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993, on the following grounds, amongst others, which are set out without prejudice to each other. The appellant institute most respectfully submits that the impugned order passed by the Regional Committee is patently illegal and contrary to the factual and legal position. The finding that the appellant institute has failed to appoint a Principal is entirely misplaced, as the appointment has been duly carried out from time to time. The appellant institute, through its affiliating university, Hemchandracharya North

Gujarat University, has regularly appointed Principals. Furthermore, the appellant had the principal till June 2024 and before the last principal resigned the institute with the University also issued an advertisement dated 28/05/2024 for the appointment of a new Principal which shows that the institute was actively involved in the recruitment of the principal however, no eligible and qualified candidate could be found. The appellant institute is still making continuous efforts to secure a qualified person for the post of Principal. Thus, it cannot be stated that the appellant is at fault, as it has been making regular appointments as and when vacancies arise. The appellant institute cannot be held responsible for the non-availability of a qualified person for the post of Principal. It is further submitted that the order of the Western Regional Committee (WRC) erroneously states that there is no faculty for Science. In this regard, it is clarified that Ms. Patel Nikulben is presently serving as a faculty member for the Science subject. Prior to her, the Principal, Dr. Tinuben P. Soni, had been teaching Science until 30/06/2024. Additionally, the institute has a faculty member, Mr. Prajapati Nishant Popatbhai, who has been responsible for teaching Music since 05/06/2018. Furthermore, the university has made repeated attempts by issuing advertisements for the appointment of a teacher for Physical Education however, due to the non-availability of a qualified candidate, the position could not be filled. It is pertinent to note that the university had a faculty for Physical Education from 05/06/2018 to 10/10/2023. The institute has also undertaken efforts to recruit a faculty member for Physical Education but has faced the same challenges. When even the university, despite multiple attempts, could not secure a suitable candidate, the institute cannot be held responsible for the same. The appellant further submits that it is incorrect to state that the institute has failed to appoint faculty for Perspective Education. The fact remains that the curriculum of the our university, which is duly approved by the University Grants Commission (UGC), does not include any subject pertaining to Perspective Education. Thus, the assertion made in the impugned order is factually incorrect and unsustainable. The appellant further submits that the order passed by WRC wrongly states that there is no faculty for Social Science, Mathematics, and Science. The appellant institute has, from time to time, appointed faculty members for the aforementioned subjects through proper advertisement and selection procedures. The appellant institute cannot be held responsible for the appointment of teaching staff,

as the recruitment process is a centralized one, conducted by the university and the institute. Moreover, there is a severe shortage of qualified teachers possessing the requisite NET/LSAT/Ph.D. qualifications. The institute, despite these challenges, made independent attempts to recruit qualified staff by issuing advertisements. However, no eligible candidates were available for appointment. In light of these circumstances, the appellant cannot be said to have violated the NCTE Regulations in any manner. It is further submitted that the impugned order incorrectly finds fault with the institute for not attaching the university's approval letters for faculty appointments with the application. Such an omission, even if assumed to have occurred, cannot be a valid ground for withdrawing recognition. The appellant ought to have been granted an opportunity to submit the required approval letters before any adverse action was taken. Furthermore, the withdrawal of recognition without affording the appellant an opportunity to explain its stand is violative of the principles of natural justice. The appellant was not granted an opportunity to present its case and justify compliance with the prescribed norms, which renders the impugned order unsustainable in law. The Appellate Authority would kindly appreciate that the Appellant Institute has been running the college since 2005 and has been fully complying with all the requirements without any default. The trust that manages the Institute was established in the year 1961. When it was established, the region was a backward area and the trust is catering to the needs of the students of the remote backward areas even for the date it is a bona fide Institute that is running the Institute for the betterment of the students belonging to the tribe and other backward communities of the area. Most of the students of the Institute belong to tribal and other backward communities. Therefore, the Withdrawal may be set aside and the recognition of the Institute may be restored for all purposes. In view of the foregoing, it is most humbly prayed that the present appeal may be considered favourably, and the impugned order passed by the Regional Committee may be set aside in the interest of justice."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held online on 29th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 09.08.2005. A Revised Provisional Recognition Order was issued to the institution vide order dated 31.05.2015 for conducting B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students (two basic units of 50 students each) from the academic session 2015-16. A Corrigendum dated 27.10.2015 was issued to the institution for conducting B.Ed. programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students for one basic unit from the academic session 2015-2016. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the WRC from the academic session 2018-2019 vide order dated 07.08.2017. The institution has filed an appeal before the Appellate Authority at NCTE, New Delhi and the Appellate Authority vide order dated 13.02.2018 decided to remand back the case of the institution to WRC, NCTE for necessary action as indicated therewith. The recognition of the institution was again withdrawn by the WRC vide order dated 18.03.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held on 29.04.2025. The Appeal Committee after perusing the documents and oral argument advanced during the hearing, the Committee observed that the appeal of the institution is still deficient on the following points: -

(i) **The institution failed to appointed Principal as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014.**

(ii) ***The institution failed to submit a staff list appointing faculties for Perspective in Education, Science; Music; Physical Education; and each one for Math, Social Science & Science alongwith affiliating University approval letters for appointment of the facilities are not attached by the institution.***

(iii) ***The institution also failed to submit compliance of the requisite direction/provisions and violate norms & standards of appointment of faculty/ies for B.Ed. course after decision of Appellate Authority of NCTE even after a gap of continuous more than 06 years.***

Hence, the Appeal Committee after perusing the documents which were made available on records is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above ground. The Appeal Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in

withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 18.03.2025 issued by WRC is confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 18.03.2025 issued by WRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 18.03.2025 issued by WRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Lokniketan Shikshan Mahavidhyalay (B.Ed.), 181, Lokniketan Ratanpur, Palanpur, Banaskantha, Gujarat- 385001.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Principal Secretary, Department of Higher & Technical Education Colleges & Universities Block No. 5, 8th Floor, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar, Gujarat.

एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी–7, सेक्टर–10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली–110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.06.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-127/E-362356/2025 Appeal/5th Meeting, 2025/ E-87034
APPLNRC202515023

Motherhood University, Faculty of Education, Co-Ed Division MIMT, 394, 395, Karoundi, Dehradun Road, Bhagwanpur, Roorkee, Haridwar, Uttarakhand-247661	**Vs**	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075

Representative of Appellant	**Mr. Ajay Gopal Sharma, Registrar**
Respondent by	**Regional Director, NRC**
Date of Hearing	29.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	02.06.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Motherhood University, Faculty of Education, Co-Ed Division MIMT, 394, 395, Karoundi, Dehradun Road, Bhagwanpur, Roorkee, Haridwar, Uttarakhand-247661** dated 22.04.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the order no. **F. No. NCTE / NRC / 2526202402141317 / UTTARAKHAND / 2024 / REJC / 317** dated 17.04.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that "As per online application, the name of the institution is Motherhood University whereas, as per recognition order, the name of institution is Faculty of Education, Co-Ed., Division, Motherhood Institute of Management & Technology, Motherhood University which does not match with each other. As per existing file of B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. course, the institution is located on the land bearing Khasra/ Gata No. 394 & 395 whereas the institution has not mentioned the Gata/ Khasra No. 395 in online application form. The institution is run by a Private University. The institution has uploaded the minutes of the meeting as proof of running multi-disciplinary programme(s). It has not uploaded the affiliation order. The institution has not uploaded the information of the students admitted. The institution has uploaded the registered land documents of Khasra/ Gata No. 394, 395 with total Land Area 6941 Sqm., however, the affidavit is not uploaded by the Institution. The institution has uploaded the search report, signed by an advocate. The institution was required to upload the non-encumbrance certificate issued by the Competent Authority indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances. The Institution has uploaded a copy of the Building Plan which is not legible. The institution was required to upload the blueprint of the approved Building plan signed by the Competent Govt. Authority indicating the name of the course, name of the institution, Khasra No./Plot No., total land area, total built-up area with the measurements of the multipurpose hall as well as other infrastructural facilities such as classrooms etc. The institution was required to submit fresh BCC approved by the Competent Govt. Authority indicating the name of the course, name of the institution, Khasra No./Plot No., total land area, total built-up area with the measurements of the Multi-purpose Hall as well as other infrastructural facilities etc. The institution has not uploaded the Building Safety

Certificate issued by the Competent Government Authority. The institution has not uploaded the Fire Safety Certificate issued by the Competent Government Authority. The institution has not uploaded the Certificate to the effect that the building is differently abled friendly issued by the Competent Government Authority."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Ajay Gopal Sharma, Registrar of **Motherhood University, Faculty of Education, Co-Ed Division MIMT, 394, 395, Karoundi, Dehradun Road, Bhagwanpur, Roorkee, Haridwar, Uttarakhand-247661** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The appeal in respect to the above cited refusal order, this is to bring to kind information and consideration that Show cause notices in regard to ITEP were received on dated 8th January2025 and 2nd Show Cause Notice on dated 05th March, 2025 and the reply with all required information were submitted for both the notices on 28th January 2025 and 17th March, 2025 respectively. The above requested appeal is being made to the Hon'ble Appellate Authority in case of the refusal order as mentioned above. The Applicant institute has replied all the observations with all details point wise to the Regional Director, NRC, NCTE in earlier responses on dated 28th January2025 and 17th March, 2025. The Appeal is being made as under and requested to consider. 1. That in the application form the name of Institution is written as Motherhood University where as the Institution name is "Faculty of Education, Co-Ed., Division, Motherhood Institute of Management & Technology, Motherhood University is correct name which is running under Motherhood University, Roorkee , An affidavit in this respect is submitted and enclosed. (Annexure - 1) 2. The Institution Building is located in Khasra No. 394,395 out of which Khasra no. 395 missed by typing error in the application this further to mention for information and consideration that for both the Khasra Nos. Land registries & documents have been enclosed and land use is for both the Khasra Nos. 394 & 395 also enclosed , an affidavit in this regard is enclosed for consideration. (Annexure -1&2) 3. The institution is running by a private University, as per the minutes of meeting as proof of running multi-disciplinary programme(s). The Motherhood University being a Private University has no power to

affiliate any Institute but have the constituent faculties such as Faculty of Commerce & Business Studies under that B. Com/ B.Com(Hons.) / BBA / M.Com / MBA and Faculty of Art, Humanities &Social Sciences under that B.A.in Hindi , English , Sociology , Economics , Pol.Sc. & History & M.A in English , Sociology , Pol. Sc., Economics, and under the Faculty of Sciences - B.Sc. in Physics/Chemistry/ Mathematics/Zoology/Botany & M.Sc –Chemistry / Botany / Microbiology & Mathematics. All the said constituent faculties are established in the same campus of Motherhood University. The authorization documents of the various other programmes as per Minutes of Ist Academic Council are enclosed (Annexure -3) 4. The List of students is enclosed for consideration. (Annexure -4) 5. The affidavit in respect to the land details has been enclosed herewith while responded the 2nd Show Cause Notice and enclosed for kind consideration. (Annexure-5) 6. The non-encumbrance certificate issued from the Competent Authority (Checked and Issued by an advocate Mr. Narrotam Kumar Tyagi , which is to be taken into consideration in reference to the directions issued from the Director General – Registration vide its Letter no. 80/ e0fu0fu0 /2009-10 dated:02 May , 2009) which has been inspected on a payment to Sub-Registrar office Tehsil Roorkee vide Receipt no.18/88 dated :28 January, 2025 is enclosed and the Non-encumbrance has been issued by an advocate has been submitted and may be considered in view of the directions of Director General- Registration. Details enclosed. (Annexure –1& 6) 7. The approved Map from HRDA is enclosed. (Annexure – 7) 8. The Faculty of Education, Co-Ed., Division, Motherhood Institute of Management & Technology, Motherhood University is established in a rural area and previously the Building Completion Certificate issued by is enclosed , presently the area is under Haridwar- Roorkee Development Authority through which recently the map of MIMT Society / University has been approved and the and a new certificate will also be issued from HRDA in a few days will be submitted at the earliest. (Annexure –1& 8) 9. The Faculty of Education, Co-Ed., Division, Motherhood Institute of Management & Technology, Motherhood University is established in a rural area and previously the Building Safety Certificate issued by is enclosed , presently the area is under Haridwar- Roorkee Development Authority through which recently the map of MIMT Society / University has been approved and the and a new certificate will also be issued from HRDA in a few days will be submitted at the earliest. (Annexure –1& 9)

10. Fire Safety Certificate issued from Govt. Authority is enclosed. (Annexure- 10) 11. The Details of Disabled Friendly Facilities are attached with Photographs. (Annexure – 11) Appeal: - The appeal is being made to the Hon'ble Appellate Authority that the institution "Faculty of Education, Co-Ed., Division, Motherhood Institute of Management & Technology, Motherhood University is established under Motherhood University exist from 2016 and with the grace of NCTE the institute is able to educate the students of this rural area and continuously supporting the aspirants interested for teacher's education. This is also to bring the kind notice of the authority that there is no other such institution in the vicinity of Roorkee city to provide Teachers Education and these courses are most preferred courses by the aspirants, this may be taken into kind consideration. In respect to the appeal it's earnestly requested to consider the details information and humble request that in view of the earlier recognition of NCTE its again very humble appeal to continue the same for future also. Submitted for kind consideration and support. Thank you. Sincerely Registrar."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held online on 29th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Northern Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 29.02.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the NRC vide order dated 17.04.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 5th Meeting held on 29.04.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee during online hearing noted that the Motherhood University is a Private University and there are different faculties/departments under the University. The name of the institution as it appears in the recognition order is one such faculty/department under the Motherhood University. The institution has submitted an affidavit to the effect that the correct name of the institution is Faculty of Education, Co-Ed, Division, Motherhood Institute of Management

& Technology, Motherhood University, Karondi, Dehradun Rod, Roorkee, Haridwar, Uttarakhand which is running under Motherhood University, Roorkee. The Committee noted that Motherhood University & Faculty of Education, Co-Ed, Division, Motherhood Institute of Management & Technology, Motherhood University are one & the same differentiated by constituting Faculty/Departments only. In addition to the above, the institution has also submitted a copy of land & building documents etch. which are required to be verified by the NRC.

In view of the above the Appeal Committee, after perusing the documents which were made available on records, noted that there is strength in the submission of the appellant institution and as such, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the institution succeeded in submitting the requisite documents duly signed by the Competent Authority. Therefore, instant appeal deserves to be allowed after setting aside the order dated 17.04.2025 passed by NRC, NCTE.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case after setting aside the order dt. 17.04.2025 to NRC, NCTE with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal which are required to be sent to them by the appellant institution and take further necessary action as per applicable Rules & Regulation of the NCTE issued from time to time. The Appellant is directed to forward to the NRC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case after setting aside the order dt. 17.04.2025 to NRC, NCTE with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal which are required to be sent to them by the appellant institution and take further necessary action as per applicable Rules & Regulation of the NCTE issued from time to time. The Appellant is directed to forward to the NRC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Motherhood University, Faculty of Education, Co-Ed Division MIMT, 394, 395, Karoundi, Dehradun Road, Bhagwanpur, Roorkee, Haridwar, Uttarakhand-247661.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Uttarakhand, Siksha evam Pariksha Parishad (Education Board), Ramnagar, Nainital, Uttarakhand-244715.

एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी–7, सेक्टर–10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली–110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.06.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-51/E-357641/2025 Appeal/5th Meeting, 2025 / E-87034
APPLWRC202514933

Lzebra Girls College, 55,56,91/1, Shivpura, RTU Kota, Sydney Street, Rawatbhata Road, Ladpura, Kota, Rajasthan-324010	**Vs**	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075

Representative of Appellant	**Mr. Pratyush Sharma, Director Member and Mrs. Monalisa Maghu, HoD (B.Ed. Dept.)**
Respondent by	**Regional Director, WRC**
Date of Hearing	29.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	02.06.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Lzebra Girls College, 55,56,91/1, Shivpura, RTU Kota, Sydney Street, Rawatbhata Road, Ladpura, Kota, Rajasthan-324010** dated 22.02.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the order no. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202402141299 / RAJASTHAN / 2024 / REJC /299** dated 27.12.2024 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that "As per the details provided by the institution on the transition portal, the institution is not recognized for 4-year Integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. course by NCTE."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Pratyush Sharma, Director Member and Mrs. Monalisa Maghu, HoD (B.Ed. Dept.) of **Lzebra Girls College, 55,56,91/1, Shivpura, RTU Kota, Sydney Street, Rawatbhata Road, Ladpura, Kota, Rajasthan-324010** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "(i) Shreenath Education Society has established "lzebra Girls College" in Kota Rajasthan. NRC/NCTE by its recognition order no. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-201615001/Recognition/269th Meeting (Part-9)/2017 Dated 02.05.2017 granted recognization to "lzebra Girls College" for conducting 4 year integrated B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed course with two basic units (100 seats). Copy of Recognition Order dated 02.05.2017 is enclosed as ANNEXURE – 1 (ii) It is submitted that in the online application (Application Code – 2526202402141299) submitted by Institution for transition from 4 year integrated B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed programme to integrated teacher education programme (ITEP), inadvertently and due to typographical error name of institute was mentioned as "Lzebra College" in place of "lzebra Girls College" . It is submitted that apart from above error all other details of the Institute was correctly mentioned which included the recognition order no., recognition order date under the heading "DETAILS OF EXISTING TEACHER EDUCATION PROGRAMME(S)/COURSES" and a copy of recognition order was also attached. (iii) However, without considering the aforesaid aspect, unfortunately, Regional Committee has rejected our application for transition from 4 year integrated B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed programme to integrated teacher education

programme (ITEP) for completely unjustified and unreasonable basis/grounds. (iv) It is submitted that Regional Committee has passed the Refusal Order dated 27.12.2024 in violation of mandatory requirement of providing opportunity of representation as given in proviso to Section 14 (3)(b) of NCTE Act, 1993. The Regional Committee neither gave the opportunity of the representation nor issued any show cause notice. Regional Committed has also not provided any opportunity of hearing. (v) If Regional Committee would have given opportunity of representation and hearing and if any show cause notice would have been issued to institute, institute would have submitted requisite clarification with supporting material regarding its name and recognition status. However, although, standard operating procedure (SOP) of NCTE provides for two show cause notice to be issued to institute but unfortunately no show cause notice whatsoever was issued. (vi) Thus, Regional Committee, without following Principles of Natural Justice and acting in violation of mandatory requirement given in proviso to Section 14(3)(b) and SOP has refused transition of existing & duly recognized four year integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. Course to ITEP Course. (vii) Since the institute is duly recognised by Regional Committee for conducting four year integrated B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed Course and after affiliation from University, has been admitting students from 2017, therefore, the refusal order dated 27.12.2024 needs to be estate and after hearing of appeal, the same is liable to be set aside."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held online on 29th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 02.03.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 27.12.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 3rd Meeting, 2025 held on 07.03.2025. The appellant institution along with its appeal report has submitted a copy of Recognition

Order dt. 12.05.2017 for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. (4-year Integrated) course for 4 years duration with an annual intake of 100 (2 Units) from the academic session 2017-2018. However, the WRC refused the institution for transition from 4-years integrated programme to Integrated Teacher Education vide order dt. 27.12.2024. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein. The Appeal Committee also decided to seek clarification/documents from the WRC regarding status of the institution with reference to the copy of Recognition Order dt. 12.05.2017 for B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. (4-year Integrated) course for 4 years duration with annual intake of 100 students from the academic session 2017-2018. The Appeal Committee noted that the WRC submitted clarification report dated 26.04.2025 alongwith a copy of the Recognition Order dated 12.05.2017 before the Appeal Committee stated that **"as per available WRC records the institution viz. Lzebra Girls College, Kota, Village-Dadabari, Kota, Street/Road-121, Shastri Nagar, Taluka/Mandal-Ladpura, Town/City-Dadabari, Kota, District-Kota, Rajasthan-324009 is recognised by WRC vide order no. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-101615001/Recognition/269th Meeting (Part-9)/2017/175062-68 dated 12.05.2017 for B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 100 (two basic units) from the academic session 2017-2018."**

The instant matter was placed in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held on 29.04.2025. The Appeal Committee during online hearing noted that the Appellant Institution in addition to the submissions and explanation given in the appeal report, it has claimed that it submitted all the desired documents earlier however, the appellant institution has submitted again a letter of authentication of land title issued by the Competent Authority along with other relevant documents for record, review and necessary consideration by the appeal Committee.

In view of the above the Appeal Committee, after perusing the documents which were made available on records, noted that there is strength in the submission of the appellant institution and as such, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the institution succeeded in submitting the requisite documents duly signed by the Competent Authority.

Therefore, instant appeal deserves to be allowed after setting aside the order dated 27.12.2024 passed by WRC, NCTE.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case after setting aside the order dt. 27.12.2024 to WRC, NCTE with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal which are required to be sent to them by the appellant institution and take further necessary action as per applicable Rules & Regulation of the NCTE issued from time to time. The Appellant is directed to forward to the WRC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case after setting aside the order dt. 27.12.2024 to WRC, NCTE with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal which are required to be sent to them by the appellant institution and take further necessary action as per applicable Rules & Regulation of the NCTE issued from time to time. The Appellant is directed to forward to the WRC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Lzebra Girls College, 55,56,91/1, Shivpura, RTU Kota, Sydney Street, Rawatbhata Road, Ladpura, Kota, Rajasthan-324010.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Principal Secretary, Higher Education Department, C5MW+73J, Devmand Dhani Post Borda Tehsil Mitrapura, Madhapur, Rajasthan 322023.

एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी–7, सेक्टर–10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली–110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.06.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-78/E-350403/2024 Appeal/5th Meeting, 2025 /E-87034
APPLERC202414825

Maa Jeera Devi College of Education, 159, Lanka Manika, Main Road, Latehar, Manika, Jharkhand-822126	Vs	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075

Representative of Appellant	**Dr. Binod K Ray, Principal**
Respondent by	**Regional Director, ERC**
Date of Hearing	29.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	02.06.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Maa Jeera Devi College of Education, 159, Lanka Manika, Main Road, Latehar, Manika, Jharkhand-822126** dated 24.10.2024 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / ERC / 2526202405203209 / JHARKHAND / 2024 / REJC / 1452** dated 29.10.2024 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The institution has mentioned itself as an Institute of Eminence. In reply, the institution has agreed that it is not an Institute of Eminence. Hence, the institution is not eligible to appl for ITEP Programme as per Public Notice F.No.NCTE-Regl012/1/2024-Reg.SEC-Hq dated 22.04.2024 issued by NCTE (HQrs), New Delhi."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Binod K Ray, Principal of **Maa Jeera Devi College of Education, 159, Lanka Manika, Main Road, Latehar, Manika, Jharkhand-822126** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The institution has already submitted that our institution is eminence hence ITEP program kindly be recognised. Ba b.com. Degree college with NAAC accredited obtaing B grade is running in our society with three intermediate colleges."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held online on 29th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Eastern Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 21.05.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the ERC vide order dt. 29.10.2024.

The Appeal Committee noted that the instant matter was placed in its 1st Meeting, 2025 held on 09.01.2025 whereby the Appeal Committee decided to grant another (second) opportunity to the institution and the appellate institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 3rd Meeting, 2025 held on 07.03.2025. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant 3rd/Final opportunity to the institution and the appellate institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held on 29.04.2025. The Appeal Committee considered the documents submitted alongwith the Appeal Report as compliance of grounds of refusal order and observed that the appeal of the institution is still deficient on the following points: -

(i) **The institution has mentioned itself as an Institute of Eminence. In reply, the institution has agreed that it is not an Institute of Eminence. Hence, the institution is not eligible to appl for ITEP Programme as per Public Notice F.No.NCTE-Regl012/1/2024-Reg.SEC-Hq dated 22.04.2024 issued by NCTE (HQrs), New Delhi.**

Hence, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above ground. The Appeal Committee concluded that the ERC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 29.10.2024 issued by ERC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the ERC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 29.10.2024 issued by ERC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Maa Jeera Devi College of Education, 159, Lanka Manika, Main Road, Latehar, Manika, Jharkhand-822126.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Department of Higher and Technical Education, Nepal house, Yojna Bhawan, 3rd Floor, Jharkhand.

एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ **IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी–7, सेक्टर–10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली–110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.06.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
File No. 89-8/E-286933/2023/Appeal/5th Meeting, 2025 / E-87034
APPLWRC202414766

Govt. Institute of Advanced Studies in Education, Ganganagar Road, Opp. Dr. Karnisingh Stadium, Head Post Office, Bikaner, Rajasthan-334001	**Vs**	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075.

Representative of Appellant	**Dr. Sudhir Rupani, HoD**
Respondent by	**Regional Director, WRC**
Date of Hearing	29.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	02.06.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Govt. Institute of Advanced Studies in Education, Ganganagar Road, Opp. Dr. Karnisingh Stadium, Head Post Office, Bikaner, Rajasthan-334001** dated 19.03.2024 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the order no. **No. F. NCTE / WRC / RJ-49 / B.Ed. / 399th Meeting / 2024 / 224806** dated 23.01.2024 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The Final Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 05.09.2023 on the ground that the institution does not have the faculty as per norms prescribed by NCTE. The institution was directed to submit the compliance report within 15 days. The institution has submitted reply dated 20.09.2023. The same was examined by the WRC and observed that the faculties shown in the B.Ed. list are also shown in the M.Ed. Programmes, therefore, the institution does not have the faculty in the B.Ed. course as prescribed in the NCTE Regulations."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Sudhir Rupani, HoD of **Govt. Institute of Advanced Studies in Education, Ganganagar Road, Opp. Dr. Karnisingh Stadium, Head Post Office, Bikaner, Rajasthan-334001** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "(i) Submission of Staff List and Commitment to Compliance: The institution duly submitted the staff list with a letter dated 20.09.2023, along with testimonials of the academic qualifications of the staff. The institute is committed to complying with the norms and standards of the NCTE. However, the WRC have not provided proper details and an opportunity to address the issue. (ii) The Principal of Natural Justice: The withdrawal order dated 23.01.2024 was issued by the Western Region Committee (WRC) of the National Council of Teacher Education (NCTE) based on the presence of common staff in both the B.Ed. and M.Ed. courses. However, the preceding Final Show Cause Notice dated 05.09.2023 did not specify this deficiency. The institute repeatedly sought clarification regarding the deficiencies in staff through letters dated 02.09.2023, 12.09.2023, and an interim reply dated 20.09.2023 to the Final Show Cause Notice, but the WRC decided on the issue without providing

specific details, thereby violating the principle of natural justice audi alteram partem i.e., listen to the other side. (iii) Admissibility of Shared Common Staff: The sharing of staff among different courses of composite institutes is permissible as per the NCTE Regulation-2014 vide notes below point number 5.3 of the appendix-4 (B.Ed.) and point number 6.2 of the appendix-5 (M.Ed.), as amended from time to time. This argument was presented and acknowledged during the hearing dated 30.01.2024 before the NCTE, which resulted into the appeal decision order dated 09.02.2023. Therefore, the withdrawal order is contrary to the spirit of the appeal decision order. (iv) Discrepancies in Final Show Cause Notice: The Final Show Cause Notice contained numerous discrepancies, as highlighted in the interim reply dated 20.09.2023. This indicates that the WRC issued the order hastily and without due diligence, undermining the seriousness of the matter. (v) Readiness to Address Shared Staff Issue Despite Constraints: The withdrawal order brought to light the specific issue of shared common staff between the B.Ed. and M.Ed. courses. The institute acknowledges this concern and is fully prepared to comply with the instructions provided. However, its essential to note that Govt. Institute of Advanced Studies in Education (IASE), Bikaner, holds a longstanding reputation as a pioneer institute of teacher education in the government sector since its establishment in 1940. The appointment of staff in the institute is governed by the government and the education directorate of Rajasthan. In light of the recent imposition of the model code of conduct due to the ensuing general elections, the transfer and posting of staff are currently banned. Despite the institutes proactive approach and readiness to address the shared staff issue, any additional staff appointment may encounter delays due to these administrative constraints. Given the circumstances, the institute seeks understanding and consideration from the Appellate Authority, emphasizing its commitment to compliance and its historical contribution to teacher education in the region. (vi) Concerns Regarding Fairness and Impartiality of the Inquiry Process: The institute respectfully raises concerns about the fairness and impartiality of the inquiry process conducted by the Western Region Committee (WRC) of the National Council of Teacher Education (NCTE). In response to an application under the Right to Information (RTI), the WRC provided information stating that the B.Ed. course of the Govt. Institute of Advanced Studies in Education (IASE), Bikaner, is not recognized. This disclosure raises concerns

about the preoccupation and potential prejudice of the WRC officials before their decision-making process in the WRC meeting and the subsequent issuance of the withdrawal order. Such actions on the integrity and fairness of the inquiry process conducted by the WRC, undermine the credibility and reliability of their decisions. The institute humbly highlights this discrepancy to underscore the importance of upholding transparency, fairness, and impartiality in all stages of the inquiry process, urging the Appellate Authority to consider these concerns in their review of the withdrawal order. Relief Sought: 1. Reversal of Withdrawal Order: We request the Appellate Authority to reverse the withdrawal order issued by the WRC, NCTE, and reinstate the recognition of the B.Ed. course at Govt. Institute of Advanced Studies in Education, Bikaner. 2. Stay on Further Proceedings: We seek a stay on any further proceedings based on the withdrawal order until the appeal is fully adjudicated. 3. Clarification and Opportunity: We urge the Appellate Authority to direct the WRC to provide detailed specifications of the deficiencies in staff and offer the institute a fair opportunity to address these issues in compliance with NCTE norms. 4. Inclusion of Institutes Name in Admission Process: The institute humbly requests the appellate authority to ensure the inclusion of our institutes name in the admission process for the next session 2024-25. Given that admissions in Rajasthan are conducted based on the Pre-Teacher Education Test (PTET), it is essential for our institutes name to be empaneled in the PTET admission counselling to provide aspiring teachers with the opportunity to pursue their education at our esteemed institution."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held online on 29th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for conducting B.Ed. programme of one year duration with an annual intake of 170 students vide order dated 01.06.1998. After promulgation of NCTE Regulations, 2014 a Revised Provisional Recognition Order was issued vide order dated 08.09.2015 for B.Ed. course with an annual intake of 100 students. The NRC vide corrigendum dated 14.10.2015 issued the order for an annual intake of 150 seats (3 basic units) of 50

students each) from the academic session 2015-2017/ The recognition of the institution for B.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the WRC vide order dated 10.11.2022. Thereafter, the Appellant Institution filed an appeal before the Appeal Committee and the Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to WRC vide order dated 09.02.2023. The recognition of the institution for B.Ed. programme was again withdrawn by the WRC vide order dated 23.01.2024.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 6th Meeting, 2024 held on 10.04.2024, 7th Meeting, 2024 held on 14.05.2024 and 3rd Meeting, 2025 held on 07.03.2025.

The instant matter was finally placed in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held on 29.04.2025. The Appeal Committee after perusing the documents and oral argument advanced during the hearing, the Committee observed that the appeal of the institution is still deficient on the following points: -

(a) The Appeal Committee noted from the records submitted by the appellant institution that the faculties selected for the aforesaid course are mostly school teacher who have been selected and posted on transfer from school/colleges for a limited period. The institution has been running since 1998, however it has not been able to select & appoint full time faculty exclusively for the institute.

Hence, the Appeal Committee after perusing the documents which were made available on records is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above ground. The Appeal Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 23.01.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 23.01.2024 issued by WERC is confirmed.

IV. **DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 23.01.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Govt. Institute of Advanced Studies in Education, Ganganagar Road, Opp. Dr. Karnisingh Stadium, Head Post Office, Bikaner, Rajasthan-334001.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Principal Secretary, Higher Education Department, C5MW+73J, Devmand Dhani Post Borda Tehsil Mitrapura, Madhapur, Rajasthan 322023.

एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ **IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी–7, सेक्टर–10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली–110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.06.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-80/E-349871/2024 Appeal/5th Meeting, 2025/E-87034
APPLWRC202414830

Govt. Institute of Advanced Studies in Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan-334001	**Vs**	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075

Representative of Appellant	**Dr. Sudhir Rupani, HoD**
Respondent by	**Regional Director, WRC**
Date of Hearing	29.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	02.06.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Govt. Institute of Advanced Studies in Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan- 334001** dated 07.11.2024 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. WRC / NCTE / RJ-173-M.Ed. / 406th Meeting / 2024 / 225489** dated 09.09.2024 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting M.Ed. Course on the grounds that "(i). The institution has failed to fulfill deficiencies even after providing reasonable opportunity by means of Show cause notice/Final SCN as decided by WRC from time to time. (ii). Separate updated approved faculty list for M.Ed. programme in original showing all details in the NCTE prescribed proforma showing all columns displayed in proforma along with the approval letter of affiliating body for selection/appointed of faculties for M.Ed. programme has not been submitted. Submitted faculty list shows inclusion of faculties appointed for B.Ed. programme, which is not acceptable. (iii). The institution has failed to appoint requisite number of faculties as per Appendix -V of NCTE Regulations, 2014 for M.Ed. approved intake of 50 as per prescribed qualification laid down under NCTE Norms and Regulations as amended from time to time."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Sudhir Rupani, HoD of **Govt. Institute of Advanced Studies in Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan- 334001** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The appellant, Government Institute of Advanced Studies in Education, Bikaner (Govt. IASE, Bikaner), hereby submits this appeal under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, against the withdrawal order dated 09.09.2024 issued by the Western Regional Committee (WRC) of the NCTE. This withdrawal order is based solely on the use of the same staff for both B.Ed. and M.Ed. courses, resulting in the withdrawal of recognition for the M.Ed. course at Govt. IASE, Bikaner. Background: 1. Pending Civil Writ Petition: The appellant has already challenged a similar withdrawal order dated 23.01.2024 by the WRC concerning

its B.Ed. course, issued on the same grounds of overlapping faculty lists for B.Ed. and M.Ed. courses. This writ petition, numbered SBCWP 6083/2024, is currently pending consideration before the Hon'ble Rajasthan High Court, Jodhpur. The High Court has stayed the implementation of the B.Ed. course withdrawal order, as per its order dated 20.04.2024. 2. Compliance Efforts and Commitment: The petitioner institute is actively working toward full compliance with the NCTE guidelines by recruiting separate staff for both courses. Process for appointment of dedicated staff for the B.Ed. course is already underway, and the institute is committed to adhering to NCTE norms and any directions issued by the Honble High Court in SBCWP 6083/2024. 3. Submission of M.Ed. Staff List: The institute is submitting a distinct staff list for the M.Ed. course duly approved by the registrar of the affiliating university, along with supporting documents verifying qualifications and experience, to address the requirements specified under the NCTE regulations. This demonstrates our compliance and reinforces our assertions submitted in this appeal. Prayer: In light of the above, the appellant institute respectfully requests the Appellate Authority to: - Set aside or quash the withdrawal order dated 09.09.2024 concerning the M.Ed. course until the matter is fully adjudicated by the Hon'ble High Court and NCTE norms are complied with. - Allow Govt. IASE, Bikaner to continue M.Ed. course admissions for the current academic session, ensuring that students are not unduly affected by administrative delays in implementing staffing protocols. The appellant institute remains dedicated to meeting all regulatory requirements in line with NCTE standards and humbly seeks relief to prevent disruption to the academic careers of prospective M.Ed. students."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held online on 29th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for M.Ed. Course of one-year duration with an annual intake of 30 students vide order dated 05.06.2000. Thereafter, a revised provisional recognition order was issued to the

institution on dt. 21.09.2015 for conducting M.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students (one basic unit of 50 students) from the academic session 2015-16. Thereafter, a withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 10.11.2022, the institution filed an Appeal before the Appeal Committee and the Appeal Committee vide order dt. 09.02.2023 remanded back the case to WRC. The recognition of the institution for M.Ed. programme was again withdrawn by the WRC vide order dated 09.09.2024.

The Appeal Committee noted that the instant matter was placed in its 1st Meeting, 2025 held on 09.01.2025 and 3rd Meeting, 2025 held on 07.03.2025.

The instant matter was finally placed in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held on 29.04.2025. The Appeal Committee after perusing the documents and oral argument advanced during the hearing, the Committee observed that the appeal of the institution is still deficient on the following points: -

(a) The Appeal Committee noted from the records submitted by the appellant institution that the faculties selected for the aforesaid course are mostly school teacher who have been selected and posted on transfer from school/colleges for a limited period. The institution has been running since 2000, however it has not been able to select & appoint full time faculty exclusively for the institute.

Hence, the Appeal Committee after perusing the documents which were made available on records is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above ground. The Appeal Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 09.09.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 09.09.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

IV. **DECISION**: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 09.09.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Govt. Institute of Advanced Studies in Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan- 334001.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Principal Secretary, Higher Education Department, C5MW+73J, Devmand Dhani Post Borda Tehsil Mitrapura, Madhapur, Rajasthan 322023.

एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी–7, सेक्टर–10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली–110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.06.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-89/E-352168/2024 Appeal/5th Meeting, 2025 / E-87034
APPLWRC202414846

Sri Guru Tegh Bahadur Khalsa College, 92/5, 92/5/B, 92/7, 92/8, 92/8K, 92/9, 92/10, 92/11, Mahanadda, Nagpur Road, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482001	**Vs**	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075

Representative of Appellant	**Dr. R.S. Chandok, Principal**
Respondent by	**Regional Director, WRC**
Date of Hearing	29.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	02.06.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Sri Guru Tegh Bahadur Khalsa College, 92/5, 92/5/B, 92/7, 92/8, 92/8K, 92/9, 92/10, 92/11, Mahanadda, Nagpur Road, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482001** dated 10.12.2024 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202405072667 / MADHYA PRADESH / 2024 / REJC/972** dated 29.10.2024 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. R.S. Chandok, Principal of **Sri Guru Tegh Bahadur Khalsa College, 92/5, 92/5/B, 92/7, 92/8, 92/8K, 92/9, 92/10, 92/11, Mahanadda, Nagpur Road, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482001** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Resp/Sir, the undersigned institution most humbly begs to submit as under: 1. That the appellant herein Shri Guru Tegh Bahadur Khalsa College Jabalpur, Hathital, Mahanadda, Nagpur Road, Jabalpur M.P is a College by Shri Guru Tegh Bahadur Education Society Jabalpur (a Society registered under the Society registration Act 1860 having its registered office at Hathital, Mahanadda, Nagpur Road, Jabalpur M.P. 2. It is respectfully submitted that the appellant is a minori institute and Govt. of India provides preferences over institution. It is running the B.Ed. course from the session 2007-2008 with intake of 100 seats till date, having in its possession recognition from the NCTE after duly satisfying all the norms and standards

spelt out in the Regulations as amended therein and there has be no dis-satisfaction or complaints whatever till date in respect the courses in question. 3. It is submitted that the appellant college in order to commence 4 year Integrated programme leading to B.A.B.Ed. Secondary (2 units), B.Sc.B.Ed. Secondary (1 unit) and B.Com B.Ed. Secondary (2 units) applied/submitted online on 20.05.2024 vide Application code : 2526202405072667 for grant of recognition with requisite fee of Rs. 1,77,000/- dated 20.05.2024 before the Member Secretary, NCTE, Dwarka, Delhi accompanied with all requisite documents. A copy of the application form submitted online for Integrated programme leading to B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. and B.Com.B.Ed. degree for the session 2025-26 is herewith marked as Documents -A. 4. Is submitted that in turn WRC, NCTE, New Delhi vide letter dt. 13.06.2024 as required as per Sub-Regulation 4 of Regulation 7 of the Regulations 2014 sent the online application form to the State Government for its recommendations to grant recognition to the appellant college. The State Government Principal Secretary Higher Education, Govt. of M.P. Bhopal in response to the letter issued by the WRC, NCTE New Delhi has expressed any negative opinion and thus it would be deemed that the State has not objection. 5. It is submitted that other similar colleges within the State of M. P which applied for the Integrated programme leading to B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. degree were however granted recognition and they are still continuing with the courses and the appellant possessing and qualifying the norms and standards has been refused to grant recognition. 6. It is submitted that vide impugned order/communication dated 29.10.2024, the WRC, NCTE New Delhi invoking clause 14/15(3)(b) of NCTE Act, 19 has refused to grant recognition for the Integrated programme leading to B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. and B.Com. B.Ed. for the session 2025-2026 on the ground that the appellant college do the fulfill the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by NCTE in its 60th General Body meeting which was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary institutions for processing ITEP Applications for the Session 2025-2026. It was spelt out in the impugned refusal order of the WRC NCTE, New Delhi that for getting shortlisted for processing the ITEP application, the institution must obtain minimum of 10 points as per the shortlisting criteria. A copy of the impugned refusal order dated 29.10.2024 issued by the WRC, NCTE, New Delhi is herewith marked as Document-B. 7. It is submitted that the appellant institute has applied

for the Integrated programme leading to B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. and B.Com.B.Ed. degree for the session 2025-26 on 20.05.2024 strictly as per the norm and standard spelt out in Appendix 15 of the National Council for Teacher Education (Recognition, Norms and Procedure) Amendment Regulations, 2021 read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) (Second Amendment) Regulations, 2022. 8. It is submitted that the appellant college/institution thus satisfies all the requisites norms and standards as spelt out under Appendix 15 amended till NCTE notification dated 22nd October, 2021. 9. It is submitted that the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by NCTE in its 60th General Body meeting which was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary institutions for processing ITEP Applications for the session 2025-2026 would not apply on the appellant institution who applied for the course just one month after the public notice dated 22.04.2024 i.e., the institution has applied on 20.05.2024 and the being so the criteria spelt out there in the public notice would apply prospective for institutions applying of the integrated courses for the session 2026-2027 onwards. 10. It is submitted that the application form of the appellant has not been properly evaluated viz., allotment of points has not been made correctly viz. 8 points has not been allotted under Category I Institutions as per UGC. Copy of UGC letter May 2007 is enclosed as Document C. 11. It is submitted that similarly 02 points has not been allotted under institutions running NCTE Recognized course(s) despite appellant institution being recognized for B.Ed. course with intake of 100 seats since 2007-2008. A copy of recognition order dated 31.07.2007 and revised order dated 31.05.2015 issued by NCTE is herewith enclosed as Documents D. 12. A bare perusal of the para 10 and 11 would reveal that if 08 points and 02 points are included, the appellant institution comes within the qualifying criteria for shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE for Integrated Teacher Education Programme. 13. It is submitted that even otherwise the shortlisting criteria has been published vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 and the fulfilment of the same should not be the benchmark for qualifying minimum 10 marks for the appellant institution who has applied for the session 2025-2026. It is submitted that the appellant since is entitled for 10 points as state above the refusal order is thus unwarranted. 14. Even otherwise since the shortlisting criteria prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body Meeting till date is not a part

of Appendix 15 of the (Amended) Regulation 2021 or (Second Amendment) Regulation 2022 or Amendment Regulation 2024 and thus since the prescribed criteria spelt out int eh Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 would not be a legal Impediment, disqualifying the appellant institution from processing the ITEP application for the session 2025-2026. 15. It is submitted that a bare perusal of the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) (Amendment) Regulations, 2024 notified on 25th January 2024 wherein in Appendix 15 after paragraph 6, 6A has been inserted which requires the existing integrated 4 year B.Sc.B.Ed. /B.A.B.Ed. and B.Com B.Ed. colleges/institutions who have been granted recognition as per Appendix 13, to transit to the new ITEP in accordance with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Amendment Regulations, 2021 dated 22nd October, 2021 before the start of session 2025-2026. That aggrieved by the refusal order dated 29.10.2024 issued by the WRC, NCTE New Delhi the present appeal has been preferred by the appellant on the following grounds: - A. For that a bare perusal of the para 10 and 11 would reveal that if 08 points and 02 points are included, the appellant institution comes within the qualifying criteria for shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE for Integrated Teacher Education Programme. B. For that the NCTE, WRC, has interpreted the decision of the NCTE Council held in its 60th General Body meeting read with the Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 and has wrongly refused to process the ITEP application of the appellant ton a wrong context in as much as the said shortlisting criteria would apply prospective i.e., for applications made for the session 2026-2027. C. For that the shortlisting criteria has been published vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 and that being so, the fulfillment of the same should not be the benchmark for qualifying minimum 10 marks for the appellant institution who has applied for the session 2025-2026. D. For that the appellant institute has applied for the Integrated programme leading to B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. and B.Com B.Ed. degree for the session 2025-2026 on 20.05.2024 strictly as per the norm and standard spelt out in Appendix 15 of the National Council for Teacher Education (Recognition, Norms and Procedure) (Second Amendment) Regulations, 2022 and thus the impugned refusal order dated 29.10.2024 issued by the WRC, NCTE, New Delhi deserves to be quashed. E. For that the even for the sake of arguments if the shortlisting criteria prescribed by the Council in its 60th General Body Meeting is accepted, the fulfilment of the same so as to secure

minimum 10 marks to be shortlisted for processing of the ITEP for the Session 2025-26 is impossible for any of the applicants applying for the ITEP for the Session 2025-2026 within a short notice period. F. For that the appellant institution is associated with the NCTE since 2007-2008 having in its possession B.Ed. Course with intake of 100 seats and has been successfully running the course with utmost devotion and hard work giving maximum 100 result in the vicinity of the town and in the State of Madhya Pradesh and is one of the oldest institution of its kind with modern amenities and huge infrastructure with leading faculty to the full satisfaction of the norms and standards spelt out in NCTE Regulations as amended from time to time. PRAYER it is therefore most humbly prayed that the appeal be allowed quashing the impugned order of refusal dated 29.10.2024, directing the NCTE, WRC, New Delhi to process the ITEP application of the appellant institution granting recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme for B.A. B.Ed., B.Sc. B.Ed. and B.Com. B.Ed. with intake of two units each for B.A. B.Ed. and B.Com B.Ed. and one unit for B.Sc. B.Ed. for the session 2025-2026 to meet the ends of justice. Place: Jabalpur Dated: 08/12/2024 for Appellate Dr. R.S. Chandok, Principal, of the Shri Guru Tegh BahadurKhalsa College Hathital, Mahanadda, Nagpur Road, Jabalpur M.P."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held online on 29th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 20.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 29.10.2024.

The Appeal Committee noted that the instant matter was placed in its 1st Meeting, 2025 held on 09.01.2025 whereby the Appeal Committee decided to grant another (second) opportunity to the institution and the appellate institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 3rd Meeting, 2025 held on 07.03.2025. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution did not submit the requisite documents with respect to the deficiencies pointed out in the Refusal Order. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant 3rd/Final opportunity to the institution and the appellate institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held on 29.04.2025. The Appeal Committee considered the documents submitted alongwith the Appeal Report as compliance of grounds of refusal order and observed that the appeal of the institution is still deficient on the following points: -

(i) **The institution failed to establish fulfilment of obtaining minimum 10 points required in the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council in its 60th General Body meeting which is essential requirement for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026.**

Hence, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above ground. The Appeal Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 29.10.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 29.10.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Sri Guru Tegh Bahadur Khalsa College, 92/5, 92/5/B, 92/7, 92/8, 92/8K, 92/9, 92/10, 92/11, Mahanadda, Nagpur Road, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482001.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 2nd floor, Annex-3, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh– 462004.

एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी–7, सेक्टर–10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली–110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.06.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-92/E-352708/2024 Appeal/5th Meeting, 2025 / E-87034
APPLWRC202414843

Ayodhaya Prasad Narmada Uchchatar Mahavidyalaya, Survey no. 61, Jabalpur, Sadar, Cantt. Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482001	**Vs**	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075

Representative of Appellant	**Mr. Manvendra Singh, Registrar**
Respondent by	**Regional Director, WRC**
Date of Hearing	29.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	02.06.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Ayodhaya Prasad Narmada Uchchatar Mahavidyalaya, Survey no. 61, Jabalpur, Sadar, Cantt. Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482001** dated 27.11.2024 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F.No.NCTE/WRC/2526202405142831/MADHYA PRADESH/2024/REJC/1109** dated 29.10.2024 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institutions do not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which is essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Manvendra Singh, Registrar of **Ayodhaya Prasad Narmada Uchchatar Mahavidyalaya, Survey no. 61, Jabalpur, Sadar, Cantt. Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482001** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The undersigned institution most humbly begs to submit as under:- 1. That the appellant herein Ayodhaya Prasad Narmada Ucyhchata Mahavidyalaya, Sadar Cantt, Jabalpur M.P is a college run by Ayodhaya Prasad Narmada Uchchatar Madhyamik Shala Samiti (a Society registered under the Society registration Act 1860 having its registered office at Sadar Cantt, Jabalpur M.P. 2. It is respectfully submitted that the appellant is running the B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. course from the session 2004-2005 with the intake of 100 seats in B.Ed. and 50 seats in D.El.Ed. till date, having in its possession recognition from the NCTE after duly satisfying all the

norms and standards spelt out in the Regulations as amended therein and there has been no dis-satisfaction or complaints whatsoever till date in respect to the courses in question. 3. It is submitted that the appellant college in order to commence 4-year Integrated programme leading B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. degree for the session 2016 applied/submitted online on 30.06.2015 vide Application ID: WRCAPP3327 for grant of recognition with requisite fee of demand draft of Rs. 1,50,000/- dated 20.06.2015 before the Member Secretary, WRC Bhopal MP accompanied with all requisite documents, however, vide order dated 17.11.2015 the application of the appellant college was rejected solely on the ground that the hard copy of the documents uploaded on 30.06.2015 has been received late by one day. A copy of the application form submitted online for Integrated programme leading to B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. degree for the session 2016 is herewith marked as Documents – A. 4. It is submitted that offer similar colleges within the State of M.P. which applied for the Integrated programme leading to B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. degree for the Session 2016 were however granted recognition and they are still continuing with the courses .....the appellant possession ....id .....the norms and Standards as per Appendix 13 of the Regulations 2014 was discriminated and refused recognition. 5. It is submitted that in order to the Integrated degree for the programme leading to B.A B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. Session 2025-2026 two units each, the appellant submitted the application form online on 21.05.2024, vide application no. 2526202405142831 with requisite fee accompanied by all requisite documents and in turn WRC, NCTE, New Delhi vide letter dated 20.06.2024 as required as per sub-regulation 4 of Regulation 7 of the Regulations 2014 sent the online application form to the State Government for its recommendations to grant recognition to the appellant college. The State Government Principal Secretary Higher Education, Govt. of M.P. Bhopal in response to the letter issued by the WRC, NCTE New Delhi dated 20.06.2024 sent it recommendations in favor of the appellant college to the WRC, NCTE, New Delhi. 6. It is submitted that vide impugned order/communication dated 29.10.2024, the WRC, NCTE New Delhi invoking clause 14/15(3)(b) of NCTE Act, 1993 has refused to grant recognition for the Integrated programme leading to B.A B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. degree for the Session 2025-2026 on the ground that the appellant college do not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by NCTE in its 60 General Body meeting which was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice

dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary institutions for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026. It was spelt out in the impugned refusal order of the WRC, NCTE, New Delhi that for getting shortlisted for processing the ITEP application, the institution must obtain minimum of 10 points as per the shortlisting criteria. A copy of the impugned refusal order dated 29.10.2024 issued by the WRC, NCTE, New Delhi is herewith marked as Document – B. 7. It is submitted that the appellant institute has applied for the Integrated programme leading to B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. degree for the session 2025-2026 on 21.05.2024 strictly as per the norm and standard spelt out in Appendix 15 of the National Council for Teacher Education (Recognition, Norms and Procedure) Amendment Regulations, 2021 read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) (Second Amendment) Regulations, 2022. 8. It is submitted that the appellant college/institution thus satisfies all the requisites norms and standards as spelt out under Appendix 15 amended till NCTE notification dated 22nd October, 2021. 9. It is submitted that the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by NCTE in its 60 General Body meeting which was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary institutions for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026 would not apply on the appellant institution who applied for the course just one month after the public notice dated 22.04.2024 i.e., the institution has applied on 21.05.2024 and that being so the criteria spelt out therein in the public notice would apply prospective for institutions applying of the integrated courses for the session 2026-2027 onwards. 10. It is submitted that the shortlisting criteria has been published vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 and the fulfilment of the same should not be the benchmark for qualifying minimum 10 marks for the appellant institution who has applied for the Session 2025-2026. Even otherwise since the shortlisting criteria prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body meeting till date is not a part of Appendix 15 of the (Amended) Regulation 2021 or (Second Amendment) Regulation 2022 or Amendment Regulation 2024 and thus since the prescribed criteria spelt out in the Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 would not be a legal impediment, disqualifying the appellant institution from processing the ITEP application for the session 2025-2026. 11. It is submitted that a bare perusal of the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) (Amendment) Regulations, 2024 notified on 25th January 2024 wherein in Appendix 15 after paragraph 6, 6A has been inserted

which requires the existing Integrated 4 year B.Sc. B.Ed./B.A. B.Ed. colleges/institutions who have been granted recognition as per Appendix 13, to transit to the new ITEP in accordance with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Amendment Regulations, 2021 dated 22nd October, 2021 before the start of session 2025-2026. 12. It is thus submitted that the appellant institution earlier applied for the Integrated 4-year B.Sc. B.Ed./B.A. B.Ed. for the Session 2016-2017 however the same was refused on the ground of late receipt of the hard copy of the application by one day by the then WRC, NCTE, Bhopal M.P. However presently for the session 2025-2026 since the appellant institution is qualified, satisfying the norms and standards strictly as per the NCTE (Amendment) Regulation 2021 dated 22nd October, 2021, the refusal order dated 29.10.2024 of the WRC, NCTE New Delhi based upon the shortlisting criteria prescribed by the council NCTE (Amendment) Regulation 2021 dated 22nd October, 2021, the refusal order dated 29.10.2024 of the WRC, NCTE New Delhi based upon the shortlisting criteria prescribed by the Council NCTE in its 60th General Body Meeting deserves to be quashed and the WRC, NCTE, New Delhi be directed to process the application of the appellant for the ITEP for the session 2025-2026. That aggrieved by the refusal order dated 29.10.2024 issued by the WRC, NCTE, New Delhi the present appeal has been preferred by the appellant."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held online on 29th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 21.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 29.10.2024.

The Appeal Committee noted that the instant matter was placed in its 1st Meeting, 2025 held on 09.01.2025 whereby the Appeal Committee decided to grant another

(second) opportunity to the institution and the appellate institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 3rd Meeting, 2025 held on 07.03.2025. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant 3rd/Final opportunity to the institution and the appellate institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held on 29.04.2025. The Appeal Committee considered the documents submitted alongwith the Appeal Report as compliance of grounds of refusal order and observed that the appeal of the institution is still deficient on the following points: -

(i) **The institution failed to establish fulfilment of obtaining minimum 10 points required in the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council in its 60th General Body meeting which is essential requirement for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026.**

Hence, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above ground. The Appeal Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 29.10.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 29.10.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Ayodhaya Prasad Narmada Uchchatar Mahavidyalaya, Survey no. 61, Jabalpur, Sadar, Cantt. Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482001.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 2nd floor, Annex-3, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh–462004.

एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी–7, सेक्टर–10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली–110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.06.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-95/E-353021/2024 Appeal/5th Meeting, 2025 / E-87034
APPLWRC202414856

Aditya College, Khasara no. 290/1, 290/2, Plot No. 231/887, Gopal Vihar, Damohnaka Road, Dixitpura, Cherital, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482002	**Vs**	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075

Representative of Appellant	**Ms. Divya Bajpayee, Director**
Respondent by	**Regional Director, WRC**
Date of Hearing	29.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	02.06.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Aditya College, Khasara no. 290/1, 290/2, Plot No. 231/887, Gopal Vihar, Damohnaka Road, Dixitpura, Cherital, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482002** dated 20.12.2024 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F.No.NCTE/WRC/2526202405072676/ MADHYA PRADESH/2024/REJC/981** dated 28.10.2024 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP application for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitated Multidisciplinary Institution for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Ms. Divya Bajpayee, Director of **Aditya College, Khasara no. 290/1, 290/2, Plot No. 231/887, Gopal Vihar, Damohnaka Road, Dixitpura, Cherital, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482002** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Kindly accept our explanation under clause 14/15 [3] a That 1. We have already registered for NIRF ranking [Docs attached] 2. We will also apply for NAAC accreditation. As per the guidelines of NCTE. 3. We have already created FDR of Rs. 7 Lakhs and Rs. 5 Lakhs with secretary [docs attached] and have adequate financial resources. 4. We have a sufficient accommodation (infrastructure, rooms. Library, Laboratory, Large playground, 16 classrooms with other rooms (In total 30). [Docs attached] 5. For staff already given advertisement [Docs attached] we are situated in the heart of city in such a densely populated area. We are already running a degree college and have a fully furnished infrastructure with all amenities [Docs. Attached] kindly give us an opportunity to meet up and raise the

standard of esteemed NCERT organization by given us the recognition of ITEP (B.A.B.Ed., B.Com.B.Ed.)."

III. **OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

The Appeal Committee in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held online on 29th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 18.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 28.10.2024.

The Appeal Committee noted that the instant matter was placed in its 1st Meeting, 2025 held on 09.01.2025 whereby the Appeal Committee decided to grant another (second) opportunity to the institution and the appellate institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 3rd Meeting, 2025 held on 07.03.2025. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant 3rd/Final opportunity to the institution and the appellate institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held on 29.04.2025. The Appeal Committee considered the documents submitted alongwith the Appeal Report as compliance of grounds of refusal order and observed that the appeal of the institution is still deficient on the following points: -

(i) **The institution failed to establish fulfilment of obtaining minimum 10 points required in the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council in its 60th General Body meeting which is essential requirement for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026.**

Hence, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above ground. The Appeal Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 28.10.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 28.10.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Aditya College, Khasara no. 290/1, 290/2, Plot No. 231/887, Gopal Vihar, Damohnaka Road, Dixitpura, Cherital, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482002.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 2nd floor, Annex-3, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh–462004.

एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी–7, सेक्टर–10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली–110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.06.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-2/E-353283/2025 Appeal/5th Meeting, 2025
APPLWRC202414863

Maa Saraswati Mahila Mahavidhyalaya, 3326, New Moder Shiksha Sanstha, Jaipur Road, Anupam Nag, Ajmer, Rajasthan-305001	**Vs**	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075

Representative of Appellant	**No one appeared**
Respondent by	**Regional Director, WRC**
Date of Hearing	29.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	02.06.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Maa Saraswati Mahila Mahavidhyalaya, 3326, New Moder Shiksha Sanstha, Jaipur Road, Anupam Nag, Ajmer, Rajasthan-305001** dated 27.12.2024 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202405283419 / RAJASTHAN / 2024 / REJC/1642** dated 31.10.2024 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Maa Saraswati Mahila Mahavidhyalaya, 3326, New Moder Shiksha Sanstha, Jaipur Road, Anupam Nag, Ajmer, Rajasthan-305001** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "With Reference to above Subject, I would like to brief some evidence in favour of institution for the grant of permission for ITEP Course 2025-26. 1. The Institution giving Services in the field of teacher education institution Since 2008 wide Recognition order no. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/RJ-1252/2008 (APN-04365) Date – 10/04/2008, Revised order no. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/RJ-1252/2008 (APN-04365) Date – 10/04/2008. Revised order no. F.NO/NRC/NCTE/RJ-1252/2015/99225 Date-14/05/2015. Enclosure no. 1 & 2. 2. The Institution is running academic college since 23-09-2021 college Education department Govt. of Rajasthan Jaipur vide letter no. F4(06) vdf'k@fu-la@2021@828 dated 23-09-2021 Enclosure no. 3.3. Rajasthan state government

inspection for ITEP had also recommended our institution name for recognition of ITEP. As our institution facilitate Multidisciplinary institution. Kindly consider our institution for ITEP institution and withdraw the said refusal order. Thanks. Yours Secretary New Modern Shiksha Sanstha, Ajmer M.No. – 9414221190."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held online on 29th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 31.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 31.10.2024.

The Appeal Committee noted that the instant matter was placed in its 1st Meeting, 2025 held on 09.01.2025, the Appellant institution did not appear to present its case before the Appeal Authority, whereby the Appeal Committee decided to grant another (second) opportunity to the institution and the appellate institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 3rd Meeting, 2025 held on 07.03.2025. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant 3rd/Final opportunity to the institution and the appellate institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held on 29.04.2025. The appellant institution did not appear online to present its case before Appellate Authority on 29.04.2025. The Appeal Committee considered the documents submitted alongwith the Appeal Report as compliance of grounds of refusal order and observed that the appeal of the institution is still deficient on the following points: -

(i) **The institution failed to establish fulfilment of obtaining minimum 10 points required in the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council in its 60th General Body meeting which is essential requirement for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026.**

Hence, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above ground. The Appeal Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 31.10.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 31.10.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to: -

1. **The Principal, Maa Saraswati Mahila Mahavidhyalaya, 3326, New Moder Shiksha Sanstha, Jaipur Road, Anupam Nag, Ajmer, Rajasthan-305001.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Principal Secretary, Higher Education Department, C5MW+73J, Devmand Dhani Post Borda Tehsil Mitrapura, Madhapur, Rajasthan 322023.

एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी–7, सेक्टर–10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली–110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.06.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-3/E-353010/2025 Appeal/5th Meeting, 2025 / E-87034
APPLWRC202414853

SD PG College, 101/40, Khajuwala, Rawala Road, I BRWM, Bikaner, Rajasthan-334023	**Vs**	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075

Representative of Appellant	**No one appeared**
Respondent by	**Regional Director, WRC**
Date of Hearing	29.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	02.06.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **SD PG College, 101/40, Khajuwala, Rawala Road, I BRWM, Bikaner, Rajasthan-334023** dated 18.12.2024 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202405203162 / RAJASTHAN / 2024 / REJC / 1405** dated 02.11.2024 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **SD PG College, 101/40, Khajuwala, Rawala Road, I BRWM, Bikaner, Rajasthan-334023** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "1. As per ITEP course requirement NAAC Inspection mandatory. SD PG COLLEGE KHAJUWALA had applied for NAAC inspection date is decided by NAAC. Soon institution will submit required certificate for ITEP Course. 2. As per section 17, SD PG College Khajuwala is applied for ITEP Course (New Application) in given period for appeal. 3. Institution is running in rural area, So, SD PG College, Khajuwala is the only institute, which applied for ITEP Course. 4. Swami Dayananad Shikshan Evam Vikas Sansthan Khajuwala is liable to fulfil all requirement to run ITEP Course. 5. Institute have sufficient infrastructure and land availability for ITEP Course."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held online on 29th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 20.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 02.11.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 1st Meeting, 2025 held on 09.01.2025. The appeal committee noted that the Appellant institution did not appear to present its case before the Appellate Authority. However, the Appeal Committee decided to grant another (second) opportunity to the institution and the appellate institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 3rd Meeting, 2025 held on 07.03.2025. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant 3rd/Final opportunity to the institution and the appellate institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held on 29.04.2025. The appellant institution did not appear online to present its case before Appellate Authority on 29.04.2025. The Appeal Committee considered the documents submitted alongwith the Appeal Report as compliance of grounds of refusal order and observed that the appeal of the institution is still deficient on the following points: -

(i) **The institution failed to establish fulfilment of obtaining minimum 10 points required in the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council in its 60th General Body meeting which is essential requirement for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026.**

Hence, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above ground. The Appeal Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 02.11.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 02.11.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, SD PG College, 101/40, Khajuwala, Rawala Road, I BRWM, Bikaner, Rajasthan-334023.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Principal Secretary, Higher Education Department, C5MW+73J, Devmand Dhani Post Borda Tehsil Mitrapura, Madhapur, Rajasthan 322023.

एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी–7, सेक्टर–10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली–110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.06.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-70/E-343256/2024 Appeal/5th Meeting, 2025 / E-87034
APPLWRC201913392

S.D. College, 1425/49, Meu, Rasota, Pamgarh, Jangir-Champa, Chattisgarh - 495554	**Vs**	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075

Representative of Appellant	**No one appeared**
Respondent by	**Regional Director, WRC**
Date of Hearing	29.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	02.06.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF ORDER

The appeal of **S.D. College, 1425/49, Meu, Rasota, Pamgarh, Jangir-Champa, Chattisgarh – 495554** dated 24.09.2019 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993. The Appellant institution in its Appeal Report informed that:- "LOI was issued for 100 students (two units), however recognition order issued for 50 students (one intake)."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one of **S.D. College, 1425/49, Meu, Rasota, Pamgarh, Jangir-Champa, Chattisgarh – 495554** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "LOI 100 seat ka order jari huwa hai."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held online on 29th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course on dated 16.08.2017 for conducting B.Ed. programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students (one unit of 50 students) from the academic session 2018-2019.

The Appeal Committee noted that the instant matter was placed in its 13th Meeting, 2024 held on 18.11.2024. The appellant institution did not appear online to present its case before Appellate Authority on 18.11.2024 and as such the Appeal Committee as per extant appeal rules decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to appellant institution to present its case before Appellate Authority.

The Appeal Committee noted that the instant matter was placed in its 1st Meeting, 2025 held on 09.01.2025 whereby the Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution along with its appeal report has submitted a written representation for consideration. The Appeal Committee decided to seek clarification from the Regional

Director, WRC and the Appeal be kept pending till the stand is received by the Regional Director, Western Regional Committee (WRC), NCTE.

The instant matter was placed in its 3rd Meeting, 2025 held on 07.03.2025. The Appeal Committee noted that the clarification/report is awaited from the Regional Director, WRC. The Appeal Committee decided to keep the Appeal of the Institution in abeyance till the requisite clarification/report was received by the Appeal Committee in terms of direction given therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held on 29.04.2025. The appellant institution did not appear online to present its case before Appellate Authority on 29.04.2025. The Appeal Committee noted that the WRC submitted its report dated 26.04.2025 clarifying therein that ***"the institution was granted recognition by WRC for one basic unit of 50 instead of two units of 100 considering the teaching staff submitted by it in response to LOI. Further, as per the provisions of Section 15 of the NCTE Act, 1993, the institution may apply afresh for additional intake as and when the application are invited by NCTE."***

The Appeal Committee after perusing the documents, the Committee observed that the appeal of the institution is still deficient on the following points: -

(i) **The Appeal Committee while going through the records, noted that WRC granted recognition for one basic unit of 50 instead of two units of 100 considering the teaching staff submitted by it in response to LOI. Therefore, the institution may apply for an additional intake *as per the provisions of Section 15 of the NCTE Act 1993, as and when the applications are invited by NCTE***

Hence, the Appeal Committee after perusing the documents which were made available on records is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above ground. The Appeal Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in issuing recognition for 50 students (one unit of 50 students) and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 16.08.2017 issued by WRC is confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in issuing recognition for 50 students (one unit of 50 students) and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 16.08.2017 issued by WRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in issuing recognition for 50 students (one unit of 50 students) and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 16.08.2017 issued by WRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, S.D. College, 1425/49, Meu, Rasota, Pamgarh, Jangir-Champa, Chattisgarh - 495554.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Chhattisgarh, First floor, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh, 492002.

एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी–7, सेक्टर–10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली–110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.06.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
F.No. 89-44/E-340682/2024/Appeal/5th Meeting, 2025 / E-87034
APPLNRC202414792

Syon College of Education, Plot No. 46, Abohar Village, Hanumangarh, Road, Fazilka, Punjab-152116.	**Vs**	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075.

Representative of Appellant	**Mr. Vipul Kumar, Secretary**
Respondent by	**Regional Director, NRC**
Date of Hearing	29.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	02.06.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Syon College of Education, Plot no. 46, K.M 7, Abohar Village, Hanumangarh Road, Fazilka, Punjab – 152116** dated 30.06.2024 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F.No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-8764-B.Ed./420th Meeting (SL.No.11)/2024/224782-788** dated 01.05.2024 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "In response to NRC letter dated 06.02.2024, the list of faculty submitted by the institution its letter dated 14.03.2024 has been considered by the NRC and the Committee observed the following: (i) The date of forwarding letter /reply of the institution is 14.03.2024 and the list of faculty enclosed by the institution is approved on 13.04.2024 which is being considered by NRC on 02.04.2024. Any document which is being considered today by the NRC cannot have a later dated of approval. As such the list of faculty is dubious and its authenticity is questionable."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Vipul Kumar, Secretary from Syon College of Education, Plot no. 46, K.M 7, Abohar Village, Hanumangarh Road, Fazilka, Punjab – 152116 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "It is a mere typographical error, However, the record clarified that the faculty engaged on the date of submission of the letter."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held online on 29th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. Course with an annual intake of 100 students (two units) vide orders dated 03.03.2015. Thereafter, as per the request of the institution the NRC in its 304th Meeting held on 26th July, 2019 reduced the intake of the institution from two units to one unit vide

order no. F.No.NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-8764/304th Meeting/2019/205341 dated 02.08.2019. The recognition of the institution for B.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 01.05.2024.

The Committee noted that the NRC vide its order no. **F.No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-8764-B.Ed./420th Meeting (SL.No.11)/2024/224782-788** dated 01.05.2024 withdrawn the recognition of the appellant institution for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "In response to NRC letter dated 06.02.2024, the list of faculty submitted by the institution vide its letter dated 14.03.2024 has been considered by the NRC and the Committee observed the following: (i) The date of forwarding letter /reply of the institution is 14.03.2024 and the list of faculty enclosed by the institution is approved on 13.04.2024 which is being considered by NRC on 02.04.2024. Any document which is being considered today by the NRC cannot have a later dated of approval. As such the list of faculty is dubious and its authenticity is questionable."

The instant matter placed in its 9th Meeting, 2024 held on 15.07.2024. The Appeal Committee perused the documents submitted in the appeal by the appellant institution and the Committee noted that the institution vide Appeal Memorandum informed that "Because the affidavit was admittedly purchased on 21.02.2024 and the letter is dated 14.03.2024. Therefore, in any manner whatsoever, the date of the attestation has to be on or before 14.03.2024 and the mere mentioning of the date wrongly as 13.04.2024 cannot be negate the fact that the faculty shown was recruited prior to the date of the letter submitted." The Appeal Committee decided to seek a proper clarification/verification from the concerned affiliating University by the NRC, NCTE and the report should be forwarded to the Appeal and to keep the matter in abeyance till the report was submitted by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC).

The instant matter was placed in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held on 29.04.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee noted that the NRC sent a report of the Registrar, Panjab University, Chandigarh clarifying therein that the ***"Syon College of Education, Abohar was never granted temporary affiliation for running B.Ed.***

course by Panjab University, Chandigarh. The list of faculties sent to the NCTE by the college is not endorsed by Panjab University as the said college is not affiliated college of this University..."

The Appeal Committee keeping in view of the above report submitted by the NRC viz a viz points raised in the withdrawal order dated 01.05.2025 is of the considered view that the question raised by NRC upon the authenticity of the list of faculties has been sufficiently clarified by the Panjab University as such the list of faculty cannot be relied upon.

Hence, the Appeal Committee after perusing the documents which were made available on records is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above ground. The Appeal Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 01.05.2024 issued by NRC is confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the NRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 01.05.2024 issued by NRC is confirmed.

IV. <u>DECISION</u>: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the NRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 01.05.2024 issued by NRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Syon College of Education, Plot No. 46, Abohar Village, Hanumangarh, Road, Fazilka, Punjab-152116.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Administrative Secretary Higher Education and Languages, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Punjab, Vidya Bhawan, 7th Floor, Phase-8, Ajitgarh (Mohali), Punjab-160062.

एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी–7, सेक्टर–10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली–110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.06.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-39/E-356409/2025 Appeal/5th Meeting, 2025 / E-87034
APPLNRC202514897

K.C. College of Education, 1338, Paloura, Muthi, Akhnoor Road, Paloura, Jammu, Jammu & Kashmir-181205.	**Vs**	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075.

Representative of Appellant	**Mr. Sanjay Tickoo, Principal**
Respondent by	**Regional Director, NRC**
Date of Hearing	29.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	02.06.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **K.C. College of Education, Khasra No. 1338, Village - Paloura, Post Office - Muthi, Street/Road- Akhnoor Road, Taluka/Mandal-Paloura, District-Jammu, Jammu & Kashmir-181205** dated **10.02.2025** filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE/NRC/FR-2122-NRC-27852733/Jammu and Kashmir /2020/Rejc/158** dated **07.01.2025** of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "First Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution vide email dated 13.01.2023. The institution has not uploaded the reply of first show cause notice. Accordingly, final show cause notice was issued to the institution on 14.02.2023. The institution again did not upload the reply to Final SCN. Accordingly, the matter was placed before NRC in its 429th meeting held on 25.10.2024 and the Committee, in pursuance of the decision taken by General Body of the Council in its 61st Meeting held on 15.08.2024, decided that all 140 institutions of J&K and Ladakh be given a final opportunity to update their applications/documents already submitted by them online on portal, pertaining to the development and preparedness done by them in terms of NCTE (Recognition, Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time. Subsequently, the portal was opened from 5th to 17th November 2024 for the institutions giving them final opportunity regarding up-dation of reply/representation, already submitted in respect of the TEI. The institution has uploaded reply on 14.11.2024. In view of the above, the Committee concluded that the application of the institution is still deficient on the following grounds: - Point No. 2.2 of affidavit on Rs. 100/-stamp paper bears only Khasra No. 1338 but as per details filled online, the institution proposed to run the B.Ed. course at Khasra No. 1338 & 1331. Point no. 3 of affidavit is not duly filled up. The institution was required to submit the fresh original Affidavit on Rs. 100/- stamp paper duly completed in all respects. In support of the land documents, the institution has submitted the private lease deed in favour of the managing society. Which is not acceptable as per clause 8(4) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2014. The other documents viz NEC, CLU, Mutation, Building Completion Certificate etc. are not acceptable in view of the fact that the land is on private lease basis, which is not acceptable as per NCTE Regulations. Hence, the Committee decided to reject/refuse the

application for B.Ed. course of the institution on the grounds mentioned above under Section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Sanjay Tickoo, Principal from K.C. College of Education, Khasra No. 1338, Village - Paloura, Post Office - Muthi, Street/Road- Akhnoor Road, Taluka/Mandal-Paloura, District- Jammu, Jammu & Kashmir-181205 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "(i). To this we submit that since land bearing khasra no. 1338 was sufficient to run the B.Ed. college as per NCTE norms, so the college management processed to get the ownership rights of the land bearing khasra no. 1338 only where the college is already functioning. for this purpose, an amount of Rs. 11,14,0010/- (Rupees one crore eleven lakh fourteen thousand ten) was spent besides lot of time. pertinent to mention here that the land with khasra no. 1331 bears building with infrastructural facilities additional to those required. To this we plead that we had already uploaded on NCTE portal duly filled affidavit on 12-11-2024. now we are submitting the fresh original affidavit on rs. 100 stamp paper dated 8-2-2025 duly completed in all respects. (Copy of fresh affidavit attached). (ii). To this we submit that now at present the K.C. educational society has obtained the ownership rights of the said land bearing khasra no. 1338, khata no. 810, khewat no. 89 and mutation no. 8361/j and 8362/j in the form of two registries of four kanal each dated 17-5-2023 & 26-5-2023. copies of the same have already been uploaded on NCTE portal on 12-11-24 (copies of the registries attached). (iii). To this we would say that now the said land is no more on private lease basis. its ownership rights stand registered in the name of K.C. educational society. So, the documents viz NEC, CLU, mutation, building plan, building completion certificated etc. may please be accepted. (All relevant copies attached)."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held online on 29th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Northern Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the B.Ed. Course on 31.08.2020. The recognition of the institution for B.Ed. programme was refused by the NRC vide order dated 07.01.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 2nd Meeting, 2025 held on 19.02.2025. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution did not submit the requisite documents with respect to the deficiencies pointed out in the Refusal Order. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (second) opportunity to the institution and the appellate institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 5th Meeting, 2025 held on 29.04.2025. The Appeal Committed noted that the institution vide letter dated 25.03.2025 & 21.04.2025 submitted its reply. The Appeal Committee after perusing the documents and oral argument advanced during the hearing, the Committee took note of the explanation and submissions of the institution and accordingly the Appeal Committee noted that the institution has submitted an affidavit dated 24.03.2025 and states under point no. 4 that the land in question is now in absolute ownership of K.C. Educational Society and no more on private lease basis. Alongwith the Affidavit, the institution has also submitted a copy of land & building documents which are required to be verified by the NRC.

In view of the above the Appeal Committee, after perusing the documents which were made available on records, noted that there is strength in the submission of the appellant institution and as such, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the document submitted in appeal *viz a viz* the grounds mentioned in the impugned order dated 07.01.2025, required to be verified.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC **with a direction to verify the**

documents submitted in Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the NRC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the NRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the NRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

IV. **DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to NRC with a direction to verify the authenticity of the documents submitted before Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the NRC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the NRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the NRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

Dhruv

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, K.C. College of Education, 1338, Paloura, Muthi, Akhnoor Road, Paloura, Jammu, Jammu & Kashmir-181205.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Principal Secretary to the Government, Higher Education Department, Mini Block Civil Secretariat, Jammu, Jammu & Kashmir.